UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/725,769 | 12/02/2003 | Stuart M. Lindsay | 10060298-2 | 3836 |
| | 7590 04/06/200 CHNOLOGIES INC. | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. MS BLDG, E P.O. BOX 7599 | | | KO, TONY | |
| ·= | LOVELAND, CO 80537 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2878 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/06/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPOPS.LEGAL@agilent.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/725,769 | LINDSAY ET AL. | | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | TONY KO | 2878 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>TONY KO</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Mr. Francos</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 27 March 2009. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Francos contacted the office regarding the finality of the office action sent on 1/27/09. After consulting with QAS in the technology center, Examiner contacted the attonery and informed Mr. Francos that the final is believed to be proper. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| /Tony Ko/ | | | | | | |